

Impact of germline *BRCA* status on clinical outcomes of patients with HR+/HER2-early breast cancer.

Antonio Marra, Serena Perazzo, Enzo Martino, Gilda Gaudio, Grazia Castellano, Ambra Carnevale Schianca, Bianca Malagutti, Elisa Giordano, Carmen Criscitiello, Paola Zagami, Mariarosaria Calvello, Monica Marabelli, Monica Milano, Nadia Bianco, Elena Guerini Rocco, Sara Gandini, Elisabetta Munzone, Aliana Guerrieri-Gonzaga, Bernardo Bonanni, Giuseppe Curigliano; Division of Early Drug Development for Innovative Therapies, European Institute of Oncology IRCCS, Milan, Italy; Division of Early Drug Development for Innovative Therapies, European Institute of Oncology IRCCS, University of Milan, Milan, NA, Italy; Division of Early Drug Development for Innovative Therapies, European Institute of Oncology IRCCS, University of Milan, Milan, Italy; Division of Cancer Prevention and Genetics, European Institute of Oncology IRCCS, Milan, Italy; Division of Medical Senology, European Institute of Oncology IRCCS, Milan, Italy; Division of Pathology, European Institute of Oncology IRCCS, University of Milan, Milan, Italy; Department of Experimental Oncology, European Institute of Oncology IRCCS, Milan, Italy; European Institute of Oncology IRCCS, University of Milan, Milan, Italy

Background: Germline pathogenic variants (PVs) in the *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* (*gBRCA1/2*) genes increase the risk for breast cancer (BC) development. The prognostic significance of *gBRCA1/2* in patients with hormone receptor-positive/HER2-negative (HR+/HER2-) early BC is still controversial. **Methods:** This cohort study derived from a prospectively-maintained institutional database of all consecutive patients with BC who underwent germline testing, including *BRCA1*, *BRCA2* and *PALB2*, at the European Institute of Oncology (May 2002–Jan 2024). The study population comprised patients with stage I–III HR+/HER2- (estrogen receptor expression >1%) invasive BC who underwent surgery and (neo)adjuvant treatment, as endocrine therapy (ET) +/- chemotherapy (CT) (Jan 2000–Dec 2022). Primary endpoints were distant relapse-free interval (DRFI) and invasive disease-free survival (iDFS) by STEEP 2.0. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional-hazard models were employed for survival analyses, with left-truncated models to account for the time from BC diagnosis to germline testing. **Results:** A total of 1,730 patients were included in the analyses, with 52 (3%) *BRCA1*, 180 (10%) *BRCA2*, and 9 (0.5%) *PALB2* PV carriers. Compared to non-carriers, patients with *gBRCA1/2* and *gPALB2* PVs were younger (median age: 39 vs 42 yrs, $p < .001$), had advanced disease stage (stage II–III: 71% vs 58%, $p < .001$), higher tumor grade (G3: 54% vs 26%, $p < .001$) and Ki-67 expression (median: 26% vs 20%, $p < .001$). Patients with *gBRCA1/2* and *gPALB2* PVs were also more likely to receive neoadjuvant (13% vs 6%, $p < .001$) and/or adjuvant CT (56% vs 36%, $p < .001$) and mastectomy (56% vs 45%, $p = .002$). All patients received adjuvant ET, as tamoxifen or aromatase inhibitor +/- GnRH analogue. No patient received adjuvant olaparib or CDK4/6 inhibitor. At a median follow-up of 9.7 (IQR 6–13.9) years, 335 (19%) patients experienced local relapse, 316 (18%) distant metastasis, and 124 (7.2%) died due to BC. At multivariate analyses, *gBRCA2* P/LPVs were independently associated with shorter DRFI (HR 1.46, 95%CI 1.04–2.06, $p = .028$) and iDFS (HR 1.34, 95 CI 1.01–1.78, $p = .045$), regardless of stage, nodal status, (neo) adjuvant CT, type of surgery and adjuvant ET, whereas *gBRCA1* were not. Exploratory analyses showed that among 232 *gBRCA1/2* carriers, 47 (20%) and 96 (41%) were eligible for adjuvant olaparib or abemaciclib therapy per OlympiA and monarchE criteria, respectively, with 37 (16%) eligible for both therapies. Additional analyses to unravel interaction of *gBRCA* status with adjuvant treatment are underway. **Conclusions:** Patients with HR+/HER2- early BC harboring *gBRCA2* PVs had a significantly increased risk of recurrence, with a potentially distinct impact of *BRCA2* vs *BRCA1*. Only a small proportion of this population currently qualify to adjuvant treatment escalation with targeted therapies, underscoring the need of expanding the therapeutic options in this setting. Research Sponsor: None.